Equality Screening Disability Action Plan 2024-2027

Policy Scoping

Policy Title: Disability Action Plan 2024-2027

Brief Description of Policy (please attach copy if available). Please state if it is a new, existing or amended policy.

The Disability Action Plan is a statutory requirement for Armagh City, Banbridge & Craigavon Borough Council which states how, in relation to Council functions, we propose to fulfil the duties set out in Section 49A of the Disability Discrimination Act 1995, as amended (DDA). These duties are to "pay due regard to the need to:

- · promote positive attitudes towards disabled people and
- to encourage participation by disabled people in public life".

As committed to in our Equality Scheme, it will go out to public consultation as a proposed plan and will be amended accordingly as a result of any feedback received.

Intended aims/outcomes. What is the policy trying to achieve?

The plan includes a number of actions aimed at promoting positive attitudes towards disabled people and encouraging their participation in public life. We will report annually to the Equality Commission on our progress in achieving these actions.

Policy Framework

Has the policy been developed in response to statutory requirements, legal advice or on the basis of any other professional advice? Does this affect the discretion available to The Council to amend the policy?

The Council is committed to the fulfilment of its disability duties in all parts of the organisation and as required Under Section 49B of the DDA 1995, the Council has set out in this plan how it proposes to fulfil these duties in relation to its functions.

This plan, in form and content, must conform to the guidelines contained within the Commission's guide for public authorities. There is discretion in relation to the actions that are included within the plan so long as they comply with the disability duties.

Are there any Section 75 categories which might be expected to benefit from the policy? If so, please outline.

The Plan is most likely to benefit disabled people and people who have disabled dependents. Disabled people will also likely fall within a number of other section 75 groupings.

Who initiated or wrote the policy (if The Council decision, please state). Who is responsible for implementing the policy?

Who initiated or wrote the policy?	Mary Hanna, Policy, Equality & Diversity
	Manager
Who is responsible for implementation?	The Council

Are there any factors which might contribute to or detract from the implementation of the policy (e.g. financial, legislative, other)?

Lack of resources (financial and human) would negatively impact on achieving the actions within the plan.

Main stakeholders in relation to the policy

Please list main stakeholders affected by the policy (e.g. staff, customers, other statutory bodies, community or voluntary sector, private sector)

Disabled people, carers with disabled dependents, elected members, staff, customers, Equality Commission, disability and carer support groups/consultees.

Are there any other policies with a bearing on this policy? If so, please identify them and how they impact on this policy.

Equality Scheme Equality Action Plan 2024-2027

Available Evidence

The Council should ensure that its screening decisions are informed by relevant data. What evidence/information (both qualitative and quantitative) have you gathered to inform this policy? Specify details for each of the Section 75 categories. For up to date S75 Borough Statistics

During the 12-week consultation the following engagement took place

- The consultation was shared with our section 75 stakeholders as well as those within the age, community planning and sports development sectors.
- It was also placed on the consultation hub and its availability widely advertised via social media.
- Online consultations were offered on 28 February at 11am and 10 April at 7pm. No one attended the meeting on 28 February but the chairperson of the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon Club attended the meeting on the 10 April and we received valuable feedback as a result of this engagement.
- We had a face-to-face meeting with the Inclusive Ability Trust, Armagh on 21 March where a number of issues were discussed.
- We received 32 responses to the Disability Action Plan questionnaire via the consultation hub

 We received an additional 17 written responses from the Loughbrickland 60+Luncheon Club which were subsequently uploaded. A total of 49 responses were received for this consultation

Section 75 category	Evidence	
Religious belief	Census 2021 a population of 218,656 residents in ACBCBC,	
	when asked what their current religion;	
	 41.7% identified as belonging to Protestant or other 	
	Christian religion	
	 41% identified Catholic and 	
	 14.7% identified no religion and 	
	1.1% other religion.	
	The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Religious Belief.	
	Of the 32 responses to the religion question on the monitoring questionnaire, 33% were Catholic, 4% were Church of Ireland, 4%	
	were Protestant Other, 12 % were no religion 10 % were Prefer not	
Delitical eninion	to say.	
Political opinion	There tends to be a generally accepted link between religious community background and political opinion in Northern Ireland. In terms of elected representatives, members of ACBCBC (May 2023 elections) belong to a range of parties across the political spectrum:	
	Democratic Unionist Party – 13	
	Ulster Unionist Party – 6	
	• Sinn Fein – 15	
	 Social Democratic and Labour Party – 1 	
	Alliance – 4	
	• Independent – 1	
	• TUV – 1	
	Turnout for the elections in 2023 was 56.34% of the borough. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Political Opinion.	
	Of the 31 responses to the political opinion question on the monitoring questionnaire, 8% were Unionist, 12% Nationalist, 20% were neither, 22% were Prefer not to say.	
Racial group	Census 2021 results identified approximately 3.3% of the borough population from a minority ethnic grouping with 8.6% of the	
	population born outside of UK and 6.8% don't have English as their main language. The most prevalent languages spoken in ABC,	
	outside of English are Polish (1.7%) and Lithuanian (1.2%). The main ethnic minority groups identified in the census were Mixed,	
	Black African and Chinese.	
	Whilst the Census data does not analyse by Disability & Racial	
	Group it may be reasonable to suppose the proportion of people	
	from racial minority groups with a disability will mirror the	
	population as a whole at approximately 22%.	
	Of the 32 responses to the race question on the monitoring questionnaire, 61% were white, 4% were Prefer not to say.	
Age	2021 Census results on age of Borough citizens identified.	
1	0-15 years 22.1%,	

16 – 24 years 10%, 25 – 49 years 32.9% 50 – 64 years 19% 65 and over 16% This represented a 26.7% increase in the 65+ age group and a 25.62% increase in the 50-64yr age group since the last census in 2011. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
50 – 64 years 19% 65 and over 16% This represented a 26.7% increase in the 65+ age group and a 25.62% increase in the 50-64yr age group since the last census in 2011. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
65 and over 16% This represented a 26.7% increase in the 65+ age group and a 25.62% increase in the 50-64yr age group since the last census in 2011. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
This represented a 26.7% increase in the 65+ age group and a 25.62% increase in the 50-64yr age group since the last census in 2011. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
25.62% increase in the 50-64yr age group since the last census in 2011. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
2011. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Age however we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
we note 56.8% of disabled people in the borough are aged 65+. The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted in most age groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
younger). Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
Of the 32 responses to the age question on the monitoring questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
questionnaire 28.5% were from the 35-44 age range. We had 17 additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
additional responses and they did not complete Section 75 monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
monitoring questionnaire however they were all from the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon group. The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
Marital status The 2021 Census provides information on the marital and civil partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
partnership status of those aged 16 and over. Almost half of residents aged 16+ in the borough were married (49.0%). The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Marital Status. Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
Of the 32 responses to the marital status question on the monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
monitoring questionnaire 45% were married/civil partnership, 10% were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
were single. Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
Sexual orientation The question on sexual orientation was new for the 2021 Census
I I
Lang was saked at all people aged 16 and ever. However while I
and was asked of all people aged 16 and over. However, while completing the census is a legal obligation, there was no statutory
penalty for those people who failed to provide an answer to the
question on sexual orientation.
The results from the question on sexual orientation are presented
in Table 7. Just 1.5% of the population aged 16 and over in the
borough identified as lesbian, gay, bisexual or other (LGB+) while
90.5% identified as straight or heterosexual and 8% did not provide
an answer to the question.
The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Sexual
Orientation.
Of the 31 responses to the sexual orientation question on the
monitoring questionnaire 57% were Heterosexual/Straight, 6%
were Prefer not to say. Men and women generally 2021 Census data – male 49.5% Female 50.5%
Men and women generally 2021 Census data – male 49.5% Female 50.5% The Family Resource Survey 2020-2021 noted that most age
groups had a higher proportion of females than males reporting a
disability. The exceptions were younger age groups (ages 14 and
younger).
The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Gender
Of the 49 responses to the gender question on the monitoring
questionnaire 12% of respondents to the consultation were male
and 86% were female

Disability	2021 Census results identified 22.3% of Borough citizens as having a limiting long term health problem or disability, affecting 36,488 households. Of those citizens in the Borough with a limiting long term health problem or disability, 56.8% are in the 65+ age group.
	Of the 31 responses to the disability question on the monitoring questionnaire 16% identified as having a disability, (physical, mental health, sensory impairment, long standing health condition
Dependants	The 2021 Census showed that 32% or 27,192 households in the borough contained dependent children. Results from the 2021 Census also show that 12% of the borough's population aged 5 years old and over (or 24,741 individuals) provided unpaid care. Of those who provided unpaid support: 46% provided 1 – 19 hours per week 24% provided 20 – 49 hours per week 30% provided 50+ hours per week.
	Benefit statistics from the Department for Communities show that at November 2022 there were 8,120 claimants, or 4.8% of 16 and over population, claiming Carer's Allowance in the borough. The Census data does not analyse by Disability & Dependants.
	Of the 29 responses to the dependants question on the monitoring questionnaire 26.5% cared for a disabled child and 26.5% cared for other dependent children.

Needs, experiences and priorities

Taking into account the information gathered above, what are the different needs, experiences and priorities of each of the following categories in relation to this particular policy/decision?

Section 75 category	Needs, experiences and priorities	
Religious belief	No evidence of different experience or needs of disabled	
	people according to religion in relation to the plan	
Political opinion	No evidence of different experience or needs of disabled	
	people depending on their political opinion	
Racial group	Disabled people who are from a minority ethnic background may face difficulties understanding their rights and how Council's DAP is relevant to them. The barriers experienced by disabled people generally may be compounded for those of different nationalities, etc.	
Age	Actions in relation to inclusive play have highlighted different needs, experiences and priorities for disabled children. Older disabled people may not find it as easy to access information or to understand how the DAP is intended to benefit them. We will engage with the Age Sector Partnership to engage and communicate this plan with them. Many older people will also meet the statutory definition of 'disabled' and will experience double disadvantage.	
Marital status	No different experiences/needs identified	
Sexual orientation	Disabled people who are lesbian, gay or bisexual may face additional barriers to acceptance or participation – multiple	

	disadvantages. Fair access to employment and services for all section 75 categories is highlighted in the Equality and Diversity training offered to all staff.	
Men and women generally	Men and women's lived experience of disability may be different. Disabled women may experience all the barriers encountered by women generally in relation to underrepresentation in public life, employment, etc. There is evidence of particular barriers and discrimination faced by Trans people which will be compounded for disability.	
Disability	Disabled people are more likely to have fewer educational qualifications and less likely to be employed than non-disabled. Disabled people face attitudinal barriers as well as physical and accessibility barriers. They are more likely to require adjustments to enable them to participate on an equal basis. Actions included in this plan highlight the different needs, experiences and priorities for disabled people.	
Dependants	Disabled people who are themselves carers will face challenges in terms of having time to be involved in engaging with this consultation.	

Screening Questions

1. What is the likely impact on equality of opportunity for those affected by this policy for each of the Section 75 categories?

Category	Policy Impact	Level of impact (Major/minor/none)	
Religious belief	The actions in the DAP will potentially benefit all disabled people, regardless of religious belief	No differential impact identified	
Political opinion	The actions in the DAP will potentially benefit all disabled people, regardless of political opinion	No differential impact identified	
Racial group	The actions in the DAP will potentially benefit all disabled people, regardless of racial background	No differential impact identified	
Age	As more older people have disabilities, more older people may potentially benefit from the actions in the DAP	Minor - positive	
Marital status	The actions in the DAP are intended to potentially benefit all disabled people, regardless of marital status	No differential impact identified	
Sexual orientation	The actions in the DAP are intended to potentially benefit all disabled people,	No differential impact identified	

	regardless of sexual orientation	
Men and women generally	The actions in the DAP are intended to potentially benefit all disabled people, regardless of gender.	·
Disability	The actions in the DAP are specifically designed to benefit disabled people.	Significant - positive
Dependants	The actions in the DAP are intended to potentially benefit all disabled people, regardless of whether or not they have dependants. There is potential for some indirect benefit to those who care for a disabled dependant if the plan's measures deliver positive outcomes for the disabled person.	Minor - positive

2. Are there opportunities to better promote equality of opportunity for people within the Section 75 categories?

Category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons
Religious belief		None identified through this
		screening exercise. Can be
		kept under review over the
		life of the plan.
Political opinion		None identified through this
		screening exercise but can
		be kept under review over the
		life of the plan.
Racial group		None identified through this
		screening exercise but can
		be kept under review over the
		life of the plan.
Age		None identified through this
		screening exercise but can
		be kept under review over the
		life of the plan.
Marital status		None identified through this
		screening exercise but can
		be kept under review over the
		life of the plan.
Sexual orientation		None identified through this
		screening exercise but can
		be kept under review over the
		life of the plan.
Men and women generally		None identified through this
		screening exercise but can
		be kept under review over the
		life of the plan.

Disability	The Disability Action Plan's	
	key purpose is to promote	
	equality for disabled people.	
Dependants		None identified other than
		some potential for indirect
		benefit for people who care
		for a disabled dependant.

3. To what extent is the policy likely to impact on good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion, or racial group?

Category	Details of Policy Impact	Level	of	impact
		(major/m	inor/none)	
Religious belief		No speci	fic impact	identified
Political opinion		No speci	fic impact	identified
Racial group		No speci	fic impact	identified

4. Are there opportunities to better promote good relations between people of different religious belief, political opinion or racial group?

Category	If yes, provide details	If no, provide reasons	
Religious belief		Not at this time, but can be	
		kept under review	
Political opinion		As above	
Racial group		As above	

Multiple Identity

Generally speaking, people fall into more than one Section 75 category (for example: disabled minority ethnic people; disabled women; young Protestant men; young lesbian, gay and bisexual people). Provide details of data on the impact of the policy on people with multiple identities. Specify relevant s75 categories concerned.

As identified above, disabled people generally are intended to benefit from the Council's Disability Action Plan commitments. Disabled people will have other equality characteristics which may impact on how they are perceived, treated, participate in or benefit from Council activities and services.

For example, a person who has a disability and is black may experience challenges a white disabled person may not. Likewise, young disabled people may have different service requirements than older disabled people and may need different opportunities to participate or adjustments to be made.

Disabled people who are lesbian, gay or trans may experience additional challenges and barriers to participation.

Disability Discrimination (NI) Order 2006

Is there an opportunity for the policy to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people?

Actions included in the plan will provide opportunities to promote positive attitudes towards disabled people.

Is there an opportunity for the policy to encourage participation by disabled people in public life?

Actions in the plan will provide opportunities to encourage participation by disabled people in public life.

Screening Decision

A: NO IMPACT IDENTIFIED ON ANY CATEGORY - EQIA UNNECESSARY

Please identify reasons for this below

B: MINOR IMPACT IDENTIFIED - EQIA NOT CONSIDERED NECESSARY AS IMPACT CAN BE ELIMINATED OR MITIGATED

Where the impact is likely to be minor, you should consider if the policy can be mitigated, or an alternative policy introduced. If so, an EQIA may not be considered necessary. You must indicate the reasons for this decision below, together with details of measures to mitigate the adverse impact or the alternative policy proposed.

Equality screening of the proposed Disability Action Plan has concluded that a full Equality Impact Assessment is not necessary. This is because the plan is actively intended to promote equality of opportunity for disabled people and should only have positive impacts. No negative impacts have been identified for any equality group.

However, efforts will be made to ensure that the outworking of the Plan is monitored so that disabled people with different personal characteristics benefit equitably from the measures it proposes.

The plan needs to be promoted widely, including to those disabled people who do not have English as a first language and to disabled people who face other barriers in accessing information about Council provision or barriers to participation. It can be made available in alternative languages and formats on request.

As a result of the feedback received regarding the importance of consultation and engagement a further action has been added to the plan under the theme of Partnership Working and it is to facilitate an annual stakeholder engagement event in partnership with AccessAble. This will provide us with the opportunity to engage with the public as part of our commitment to continuous improvement.

C: MAJOR IMPACT IDENTIFIED - EQIA REQUIRED

If the decision is to conduct an equality impact assessment, please provide details of the reasons.

Timetabling and Prioritising
If the policy has been screened in for equality impact assessment, please answer the

following questions to determine its priority for timetabling the equality impact assessment.

On a scale of 1-3 with 1 being the lowest priority and 3 being the highest, assess the policy in terms of its priority for equality impact assessment.

Policy Criterion Rating (1-3)

Effect on equality of opportunity and good relations Social need Effect on people's daily lives

The total rating score should be used to prioritise the policy in rank order with other policies screened in for equality impact assessment. This list of priorities will assist the Council in timetabling its EQIAs.

Is the policy affected by timetables established by other relevant public authorities? If yes, please give details.

Monitoring

Effective monitoring will help the authority identify any future adverse impact arising from the policy. It is recommended that where a policy has been amended or an alternative policy introduced to mitigate adverse impact, monitoring be undertaken on a broader basis to identify any impact (positive or adverse).

Further information on monitoring is available in the Equality Commission's guidance on monitoring (www.equalityni.org).

Identify how the impact of the policy is to be monitored

This is a Draft Disability Action Plan. It will be updated as necessary following the consultation. An annual equality progress report will be prepared on an annual basis and submitted to the Equality Commission.

Approval and Authorisation

A copy of the screening form for each policy screened should be signed off by the senior manager responsible for that policy. The screening recommendation should be reported to the relevant Committee/The Council when the policy is submitted for approval.

Screened by	Position/Job title	Date
Mary Hanna	Policy, Equality & Diversity	22/05/2024
	Manager	
Approved by	Position/Job Title	Date
/ Approved by	1 00111011/000 11110	Date
Martina McNulty	Head of Department:	22/05/2024

A copy of the completed screening form should be signed off and approved by the senior manager responsible for that policy. The completed screening form should be attached to the relevant Committee paper when the policy is submitted for approval.

Following approval by Council please forward a copy of the completed approved policy and screening form to:

Equality@armaghbanbridgecraigavon.gov.uk

The policy and completed screening form will be made available on the Council's website.

Rural Needs Impact Assessment (RNIA) Draft Disability Action Plan 2024-2027

SECTION 1

Defining the activity subject to Section 1(1) of the Rural Needs Act (NI) 2016

- 1A. Name of Public Authority: Armagh City, Banbridge & Craigavon Borough The Council
- 1B. Please provide a short title which describes the activity being undertaken by the Public Authority that is subject to Section 1(1) of the Rural Needs Act (NI) 2016.

Draft Disability Action Plan 2024 - 2027

1C Please indicate which category the activity specified in Section 1B above relates to:

Developing a

Adopting a

Implementing a

Revising a Plan

Designing a Public Service

Delivering a Public Service

1D. Please provide the official title (if any) of the Policy, Strategy, Plan or Public Service document or initiative relating to the category indicated in Section 1C above

Draft Disability Action Plan 2024 - 2027

1E. Please provide details of the aims and/or objectives of the Policy, Strategy, Plan or Public Service

The plan includes a number of actions aimed at promoting positive attitudes towards disabled people and encouraging their participation in public life. We will report annually to the Equality Commission on our progress in achieving these actions.

1F. What definition of 'rural' is the Public Authority using in respect of the Policy, Strategy, Plan or Public Service?

Population	on Settlemen	ts of less th	an 5,00	0 (Default definition	\boxtimes	
Other De	efinition (Prov	ide details a	and the	rationale below)		
A definition	on of 'rural' is	not applica	able			
Details o	of alternative	definition	of 'rura	al' used		
Rationale	e for using alte	ernative defir	nition of	'rural'.		
Reasons	why a definiti	on of 'rural'	is not ap	oplicable.		
SECTIO Underst		mpact of th	e Policy	, Strategy, Plan or Pub	lic Service	
	e Policy, Stra n rural areas?		or Publi	ic Service likely to impa	nct on	
Yes	\boxtimes	No		If response is I	No go to 2E	
	se explain ho n rural areas.		y, Strate	egy, Plan or Public Serv	ice is likely to impact on	
	Disabled people and people living in rural areas may encounter difficulties in accessing consultation events in urban areas.					
areas dif		people in u			npact on people in rural v it is likely to impact on	
Accessi	ble transport					

	se indicate v Service is li			ing rural policy areas th	e Policy, Strategy, Plan
Rural Bus			····	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Rural Tou	urism				
Rural Hou	using				
	mployment i	n Rural Area	as		
	n or Training				
Broadbar	nd or Mobile	Communica	tions in	Rural Areas	
Transport	t Services or	Infrastructu	re in Ru	ural Areas	
Health or	Social Care	Services in	Rural A	Areas	
Poverty in	n Rural Areas	3			
Deprivation	on in Rural A	reas			
Rural Crin	me or Comm	unity Safety	,		
Rural Dev	velopment				
Agri-Envi	ronment				
Other (Pl	ease state)				
	se explain w n people in r	•	cy, Stra	ategy, Plan or Public So	ervice is NOT likely to
SECTIO Identifyi		l and Econo	omic Ne	eeds of Persons in Rura	I Areas
		•		s to identify the social a the Policy, Strategy, Pla	
Yes	\boxtimes	No		If response is N	lo go to 3E
				ng methods or informat social and economic ne	
Consultat	tion with Rura	al Stakeholo	lers	\boxtimes	
Consultation with Other organisations					
Published Statistics					
Research Papers					
Surveys or Questionnaires				\boxtimes	
Other Publications					
Other Methods or Information Sources					
(include details in Question 3C below)					

3C. Please provide details of the methods and information sources used to identify the social and economic needs of people in rural areas including relevant dates, names of organisations, titles of publications, website references, details of surveys or consultations undertaken etc.

During the 12 week consultation the following engagement took place

- The consultation was shared with our section 75 stakeholders which included rural stakeholders as well as those within the age, community planning and sports development sectors.
- It was also placed on the consultation hub and its availability widely advertised via social media.
- Online consultations were offered on 28 February at 11am and 10 April at 7pm. No one attended the meeting on 28 February but the chairperson of the Loughbrickland 60+ Luncheon Club attended the meeting on the 10 April and we received valuable feedback as a result of this engagement.
- We had a face-to-face meeting with the Inclusive Ability Trust, Armagh on 21 March where a number of issues were discussed.
- We received 32 responses to the Disability Action Plan questionnaire via the consultation hub
- We received a further 17 written responses from the Loughbrickland 60+Luncheon Club which were subsequently uploaded.
- A total of 49 responses were received for this consultation

3D. Please provide details of the social and economic needs of people in rural areas which have been identified by the Public Authority?

Disabled people and people living in rural areas may encounter difficulties in accessing consultation events in urban areas.

If the response to Section 3A was YES GO TO Section 4A.

3E. Please explain why no steps were taken by the Public Authority to identify the social and economic needs of people in rural areas?

SECTION 4

Considering the Social and Economic Needs of Persons in Rural Areas

4A. Please provide details of the issues considered in relation to the social and economic needs of people in rural areas.

We engaged with rural stakeholders as part of this consultation

SECTION 5

Influencing the Policy, Strategy, Plan or Public Service

5A. Has the development, adoption, implementation or revising of the Policy, Strategy or Plan, or the design or delivery of the Public Service, been influenced by the rural

needs ident	ified?						
Yes		No		If response is No go to 5C			
5B. Please explain how the development, adoption, implementation or revising of the Policy, Strategy or Plan, or the design or delivery of the Public Service, has been influenced by the rural needs identified.							
our plan ha regarding t	is fully consider he impact on di ireas beyond th	ed the sabled	needs of the ru people living i	the consultation process to ensure that ural community and received responses n rural areas. Many of these comments hers will be shared with the Community			
If the respon	nse to Section	5A was	YES go to 6A	. .			
5C. Please explain why the development, adoption, implementation or revising of the Policy, Strategy or Plan, or the design or the delivery of the Public Service, has NOT been influenced by the rural needs identified.							
SECTION (<u>6</u> ing and Record	ding					
Authority ar	6A. Please tick below to confirm that the RNIA Template will be retained by the Public Authority and relevant information on the Section 1 activity compiled in accordance with paragraph 6.7 of the guidance.						
I confirm tha	t the RNIA Tem	plate w	ill be retained,	and relevant information compiled.			
Rural Needs Impact Assessment undertaken by: Mary Hanna							
Position:			□ P	olicy, Equality & Diversity Manager			
Department	/ Directorate:			Strategy & Performance			
Signature:				Mary Hanna			
Date:				22/05/2024			
Rural Needs	s Impact Asses	sment	approved by:	Martina McNulty			
Position:				Head of Department			
Department	/ Directorate:			Strategy & Performance			
Signature:				Martina McNulty			

22/05/2024

Date: